

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/712,787	11/13/2003	Xiaoxun Zhu	108-192USA000	7813
75	90 07/14/2005		EXAM	INER
Thomas J. Perkowski, Esq., PC			KIM, AHSHIK	
Soundview Plaz	za -			
1266 East Main Street			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Stamford, CT 06902			2876	
			D. III	_

DATE MAILED: 07/14/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

••		A /				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/712,787	ZHU ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Ahshik Kim	2876				
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 6/24.	/04 (preliminary amendment).					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
, ==) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under I	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>57-68</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>57-68</u> is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on 27 December 2004 is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	are: a) accepted or b) objector drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Application in the second	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 		atent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/712,787

Art Unit: 2876

10

15

20

DETAILED ACTION

Preliminary Amendment

1. Receipt is acknowledged of the amendment filed on June 24, 2004. In the amendment claims 1-56 were amended; and claims 57-68 were newly added. Currently, claims 57-68 remain for examination.

Drawings

2. Drawing change submitted on December 27, 2004 is approved. However, the formal drawing for figures 7C1 and 7C2 are required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.Ş.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claim 58 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- Re claim 58, lines 21-22: it is unclear what Applicant meant by "for controlling and coordinating the greater of a plurality of said subsystems."

Applicant is respectfully suggested to amend the claims to clarify the subject matter recited in this claim, particularly the cited portion of the claim.

Art Unit: 2876

5

20

25

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
 - 7. Claims 57, 60-62, and 64-68 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Roustaei (US 6,123,261, hereinafter "Roustaei") in view of Metlitsky et al. (US 5,545,886, hereinafter "Metlitsky").
 - Re claims 57, 62, and 64-66, Roustaei discloses a hand-supportable optical reader (col. 1, lines 23+; col. 7, lines 53+) reading one or two dimensional code at varying depths of field (see abstract). The reader is comprised of LED-base illumination subsystem (col. 5, lines 66+); image capturing means embodied with CCD (col. 1, lines 51+) and input/output system interfacing with a host machine 811 (col. 7, line 53 col. 8, line 6). The CCD provides three scanning modes: full-readout mode, block-access mode, and skip-access mode. The block access mode provides a readout of any arbitrary area of interest (col. 6, lines 15-49).

Art Unit: 2876

5

10

15

Roustaei fails to specifically teach or fairly suggest that the LED is a multi-mode based subsystem for producing narrow and wide area fields of LED illumination.

Metlitsky teaches an optical code scanner (see abstract) wherein a linear array of light source is selectively activated to generate desired scanning beam pattern (see abstract; col. 1, lines 66+; col. 2, lines 22+).

In view of Metlitsky's teachings, it would have been obvious to an ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ well-known selectively activatible LEDs to the teachings of Roustaei in order to reduce power consumption of LEDs and prolong the life of LEDs and the scanning apparatus. As is known, LEDs often generate intense heat. The more LEDs are used, the shorter the life of the illuminating subsystem; and LEDs have to be frequently replaced. By incorporating selectively activated LEDs, the lifespan of LEDs can be extended. The overall wear and tear caused by selectable LED, compared to all-or-none LED would be significantly less. Therefore, such modification (incorporating selectively activated LEDs) would have been an obvious expedient, well within the ordinary.

Re claim 60, the image formation means can be a CMOS-type image sensing array (col. 1, lines 52+).

Re claim 61, the optical reader further includes SDRAM memory (col. 8, lines 19-30) and FPGA or ASIC circuitry (col. 9, lines 23+).

Re claims 67 and 68, the captured images are transmitted to and from the memory buffer (col. 4, lines 23-27; col. 6, lines 49+).

Application/Control Number: 10/712,787

Page 5

Art Unit: 2876

10

15

8. Claim 58, 59 and 63 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Roustaei et al. (US 6,123,261) as modified by Metlitsky et al. (US 5,545,886) as applied to claim 57 above, and further in view of Rockstein et al. (US 5,340,971, hereinafter "Rockstein").

The teachings of Roustaei as modified by Metlitsky have been discussed above.

Roustaei/Metlitsky fails to specifically teach or fairly suggest of utilizing IR-based object detection subsystem.

Rockstein teaches an automatic barcode reader having selectable long range and short range mode (see abstract). In determining the mode, IR-base object detection system is used (col. 7, lines 20+).

In view of Rockstein's teaching, it would have been obvious to an ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate well-known IR-based object presence and range detection subsystem to the teachings of Roustaei/Metlitsky in order to clearly capture the target image. Roustaei, being a variable depths scanner, has the means to focus on variable depths. However, IR-based object detection system would improve the existing range finding means of Roustaei.

Re claim 59, Roustaei discloses that many components (i.e., LED, CCD, and other circuitries) are installed on the printed circuit board (col. 3, lines 23+).

Conclusion

- I. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure: Inoue et al. (US 5,418,357); Longacre, Jr. (US 5,773,806); Campanelli et al. (US 6,024,283); Salatto et al. (US 5,945,658) disclose optical readers. Applicant is respectfully suggested to carefully review these references.
 - II. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to *Ahshik Kim* whose telephone number is (571)272-2393. The

Application/Control Number: 10/712,787

Art Unit: 2876

opincation/Control Number. 10//12,/8/

examiner can normally be reached between the hours of 6:00AM to 3:00PM Monday thru Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael G. Lee, can be reached on (571)272-2398. The fax number directly to the Examiner is (571)273-2393. The fax phone number for this Group is (703)872-9306.

Communications via Internet e-mail regarding this application, other than those under 35 U.S.C. 132 or which otherwise require a signature, may be used by the applicant and should be addressed to [ahshik.kim@uspto.gov].

All Internet e-mail communications will be made of record in the application file. PTO employees do not engage in Internet communications where there exists a possibility that sensitive information could be identified or exchanged unless the record includes a properly signed express waiver of the confidentiality requirements of 35 U.S.C. 122. This is more clearly set forth in the Interim Internet Usage Policy published in the Official Gazette of the Patent and Trademark on February 25, 1997 at 1195 OG 89.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Ahshik Kim
Patent Examiner
Art Unit 2876

Page 6

July 1, 2005

20

10

15